King County

KING COUNTY

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Signature Report

June 27, 2011

Motion 13503

	Proposed No. 2011-0175.2 Sponsors Phillips, McDermott and Hague
1	A MOTION seeking review of federal requirements to use
2	coal-based tar sealants at county airport facilities.
3	WHEREAS, coal tar-based sealants have been used in significant quantities
4	nationwide on driveways, playgrounds and other pavement as a means of sealing outdoor
5	pavement surfaces against penetration by water or other liquids, and
6	WHEREAS, coal tar compounds, which contain polycyclic aromatic
7	hydrocarbons, are considered to be a human and animal carcinogen by the International
8	Agency for Research on Cancer, and
9	WHEREAS, coal tar-based sealants have been identified as a significant source of
10	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, carried from application sites to local streams, rivers
11	and lakes through stormwater runoff, and
12	WHEREAS. The Washington Department of Ecology has adopted regulatory
13	standards under the Model Toxics Control Act to control the impacts of polycyclic
14	aromatic hydrocarbons such as those associated with coal tar-based sealants, and
15	WHEREAS, a recent nationwide survey by the United States Geological Survey
16	assessed levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in forty lakes nationwide, as well as
17	the proportional contribution of coal tar-based sealants to the identified polycyclic
18	aromatic hydrocarbon levels, and found that about fifty percent of the polycyclic

19 aromatic hydrocarbons found in lake sediments studied could be attributed to coal tarbased sealants, and 20 WHEREAS, attention to the toxic impacts of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 21 on the health of lakes and other water bodies, has raised the concern of residents, public 22 23 health advocates, environmentalists and local governments in various parts of the nation, 24 and WHEREAS, in the 2011 legislative session, the Washington state Legislature 25 26 approved, and the Governor signed, legislation enacting a statewide ban on the sale of 27 coal tar-based sealants, and their use on driveways or parking areas 28 WHEREAS, local governments in Austin, Texas, Washington, D.C., and elsewhere have banned the use of coal tar-based sealants as a measure to protect the 29 quality of surface waters in their jurisdictions, and 30 31 WHEREAS, a survey of King County agencies was conducted recently to assess whether they utilize coal tar-based sealants in the construction or maintenance of their 32 capital facilities, and 33 34 WHEREAS, King County agencies have discontinued use of coal tar-based 35 sealants in construction or maintenance activities with the exception of King County International Airport, which, due to requirements by the Federal Aviation Administration 36 37 ("FAA"), uses coal tar-based sealants on airport ramps and aircraft fueling aprons, and WHEREAS, the King County International Airport receives grant funding from 38 39 the FAA for capital projects and is thus required to follow FAA standards in project 40 design including use of coal tar-based sealants, and is audited against such standards annually, and 41

12	WHEREAS, alternatives to coal tar-based sealants appear to be available to
13	provide effective replacements for coal tar-based sealants for airport facility use, and
14	WHEREAS, airports nationwide may be subject to the same FAA regulations,
15	and be mandated to use coal tar-based sealants by FAA guidance circulars;
16	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:
17	A. The council requests a review by the FAA of its requirements for use of coal
18	tar-based sealants within FAA-licensed airport facilities, including on airport ramps and
19	aircraft refueling aprons, and seeks support for this review from King County's
50	representatives in the United States Congress.
51	B. The FAA's review should consider the potential toxic impacts of coal tar-
52	based sealants on area waterways and human and animal health.
53	C. The review should include an assessment of the availability and utility of
54	alternative sealants for airport facilities with less toxic impacts on the environment.
55	D. The review should assess how many commercial airports nationwide are
56	adhering to FAA standards mandating use of coal tar-based sealants.
57	E. The FAA should give all due consideration to revising its requirements for use
58	of coal tar-based sealants at airports locally and nationwide.
59	F. The FAA should communicate its findings and decision, within eighteen

- 60 months of the effective date of this motion, to King County's representation in the United
- 61 States Congress, and to the King County executive and King County council.

62

Motion 13503 was introduced on 4/11/2011 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 6/27/2011, by the following vote:

Yes: 9 - Mr. Phillips, Mr. von Reichbauer, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague, Ms. Patterson, Ms. Lambert, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. Dunn and Mr.

McDermott

No: 0

Excused: 0

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Carry Gossett, Chair

ATTEST:

means

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Attachments: None